THE JUDICIARY, STATE OF HAWAII NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM HRS CHAPTER 103D

T0:	Chief Procurement Officer						
FROM:	Office of the Chief Court Administrator, 1CC						
	Name of Requesting Division/Program						
Pursuant to HRS § 103D -102 (b)(4) and HAR Chapter 3-120, The Judiciary requests a procurement exemption for the following:							
1. Describe the goods, services or construction:							
RQ #K4615. The services being procured is for a court staff workload and workflow assessment study. This procurement and purchase is necessary to determine whether the First Judicial Circuit is properly staffed and to improve workflow processes. These studies are necessary to provide a solid basis for effective operations of the court and requesting appropriate funding. The workload study enables the court to determine the appropriate staffing while the workflow study makes certain that operations are as efficient as possible; filling in the gaps that have been created with the implementation of recent changes in the court's automated case management system.							
•	ractor/Service Provider:	3. Amount of Request:					
National Center	for State Courts	\$99,450.00					
	estimated to be completed over a 12 month period	5. Prior Judiciary Procurement Exemption No. (if applicable):					
6. Explain in det	ail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the P	rogram/Division to procure by competitive means:					
The NCSC is highly qualified to conduct this assessment given its considerable experience in evaluation research, operational reviews, organizational and administrative assessments, process analyses, and technical assistance, including specifically, judicial workload assessments. The NCSC has completed similar for statewide and single jurisdictions in Arizona, Montana, Nevada, and Pennsylvania, to name a few. The NCSC also completed security assessments in 2010 for three court facilities in the First Judicial Circuit as well as provided the Hawaii Judiciary with important findings and recommendations for security enhancements. In 2012, the NCSC also conducted court security and emergency preparedness team training for the circuit and district courts.							
In light of their qualifications and proven record of more than satisfactory provision of services for the Hawaii Judiciary, it is not practicable or advantageous to procure by competitive means.							
7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider:							
The NCSC was the only vendor considered and selected for this project based on the explanation pursuant to question #6. The organization is the natural selection based on their expertise in this very specialized area, and their previous experience in conducting similar studies for other jurisdictions.							

CP-3 (Apr 2013) Page 1 Exemption No: <u>JE 15-78</u>

Reprographics (01/14) AD R d AD-P-740

		sible staff person(s) conducting and r npletion of mandatory training requ		ocurement. (Appropriate delegated			
		k after name of person to contact for					
Na	me	Division/Program	Phone Number	email address			
Mark Santoki		Ofc of the Chief Court Adm, 1CC	539-4052	mark.m.santoki@courts.hawaii.gov			
All requirements/approvals and internal controls for this expenditure is the responsibility of the Division/Program. I certify that the information provided above is, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct.							
/s/ Mark Sa	ıntoki			06/02/2015			
Department/Division/Program Head Signature				Date			
For Chief Procurement Officer Use Only							
Date Notice Posted: <u>0</u> 6/03/2015 _							
Inquiries about this request shall be directed to the contact named in Item 8. Submit written objection to this NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION within seven (7) calendar days, or as otherwise allowed, from the Date Notice Posted to:							
Chief Procurement Officer – The Judiciary Financial Services Department Contracts & Purchasing Office 1111 Alakea Street, 6 th Floor Honolulu, Hawaii 96813-2807							
Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) Comments:							
	Approved	Disapproved	No Act	cion Required			
		- Chief Procuremen	nt Officer Signati	ure Date			

CP-3 (Apr 2013) Page 2 Exemption No: JE 15-78